

## **ACTION MEMO**

**FOR:** ALLEN W. MIDDLETON, DIRECTOR, FINANCIAL PLANS AND POLICY,  
PERFORMING THE DUTIES OF THE ASD (HEALTH AFFAIRS)

**FROM:** RADM C. S. Hunter, Deputy Director, TRICARE Management Activity

**SUBJECT:** Study and Plan to Improve Military Health Care Report to Congress

- Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 requires actions be taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program. An initial report is due to the congressional defense committees by 26 Apr 2010 (TAB C)
- The report at TAB B describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system.

**RECOMMENDATION:** That Mr. Middleton sign the letters at TAB A.

**COORDINATION:** TAB D

**Attachments:**

As stated

Prepared by: Ms. Kathleen Larkin/Ms. Shane Pham, TMA/Policy and Operations, (703)  
681-0039, Livelink #

TAB A

The Honorable Carl Levin  
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to all Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable John McCain  
Ranking Member

The Honorable Jim Webb  
Chairman, Subcommittee on Personnel  
Committee on Armed Services  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to all Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Lindsey O. Graham  
Ranking Member

The Honorable Ike Skelton  
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to all Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Howard P. "Buck" McKeon  
Ranking Member

The Honorable Susan Davis  
Chairwoman, Subcommittee on Military Personnel  
Committee on Armed Services  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Madame Chairwoman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Joe Wilson  
Ranking Member

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye  
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Thad Cochran  
Ranking Member

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye  
Chairman, Subcommittee on Defense  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Thad Cochran  
Ranking Member

The Honorable David R. Obey  
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Jerry Lewis  
Ranking Member

The Honorable John P. Murtha  
Chairman, Subcommittee on Defense  
Committee on Appropriations  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report is submitted in response to Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 which requires a report on actions taken to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

The report describes progress made and future plans for improvement of the military health system. The information included reveals areas of excellence, as well as opportunities for improvement. We are dedicated to constantly improving the health care provided to all of our beneficiaries. The Military Health System strives to provide high quality health care to Department of Defense beneficiaries in the United States and abroad.

Thank you for your continued support of the Military Health System.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Middleton  
Director, Financial Plans and Policy  
Performing the Duties of the  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Health Affairs)

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young  
Ranking Member

TAB B

## Report to Congress



## Study and Plan to Improve Military Health Care

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Background.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Actions to Guarantee Care within Established Standards.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Sharing of Health Care Resources among Federal Health Care Programs.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Medical Technology to Speed and Simplify Referrals.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Plan to Improve Regional or National Staffing Capabilities.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Plan to Improve Access to Health Care for Reservists.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Actions to Ensure Access to Care Standards.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>New Budgeting and Resource Allocation Methodologies for MTFs.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Financing Options for Civilian Providers.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Actions to Reduce Administrative Costs.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Plan to Control the Cost of Health Care and Pharmaceuticals.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Audit DEERS to Improve Eligibility Determinations.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Plan to Enhanced Availability of Prevention and Wellness Care.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Technology to Improve Health and Preventive Care Communications.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Performance Metrics.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Quality Assurance — Ensuring No Adverse Impact to Cost, Access, or Care.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Attachment 1.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Attachment 2.....</b>	<b>38</b>

## **Background**

---

Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, directs that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, undertake actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program. This report annotates actions considered by the Secretary and progress made in the undertaking of such actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

## **Actions to Guarantee Care within Established Standards**

---

We were asked to consider actions to guarantee the availability of care within established access standards for eligible beneficiaries based on a study of the ten most common medical conditions for which active duty family members (ADFM) seek care. We were also asked to consider the availability and access in both the direct care and purchased care system for these medical conditions.<sup>1</sup>

We analyzed the number of visits by place of service for the 14 most common conditions in Fiscal Year 2009 for both military treatment facility (MTF) and civilian TRICARE Prime enrollees as well as ADFMs not enrolled in TRICARE Prime. The findings are at attachment 1 (tables 1-3).

For each of the three beneficiary groups, we examined the number of visits in each of the following six settings:

- MTF Emergency Room
- MTF “Other” (non-emergency room)
- Civilian Emergency Room
- Civilian physician office
- Civilian Hospital Outpatient Department (HOPD)
- Civilian “Other”

## **Findings**

In FY09, approximately 53 percent of ADFMs were MTF Prime enrollees, 28 percent were civilian Prime enrollees, and 19 percent were non-enrolled. Most of the top 14 conditions can be considered acute. For these top 14 conditions, we found that MTF

---

<sup>1</sup> 32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 199.17(p) (5). The standards include a beneficiary being seen by a health care provider for acute issue within 24 hours, for routine care within 7 days, and for specialty and wellness care within 28 days

enrollees had a total of 2.3 million visits, civilian enrollees had 1.2 million visits and non-enrollees had 0.6 million visits. As a percentage of the total number of ADFM visits for these 14 conditions, the MTF enrollees had 56 percent of the visits, the civilian enrollees had 29 percent of the visits, and the non-enrolled ADFMs had 15 percent of the visits. Thus, the percentage of total visits was slightly higher for the enrolled populations and lower for the non-enrolled beneficiaries. We expect this relationship because some of the non-enrolled ADFMs are not users of the Military Health System (MHS).

We also found that there were different sources of care by type of beneficiary. For example, Table 1 shows that for otitis media (PDX 382), MTF Prime enrollees received 76 percent of their care from the MTF (12 percent from the MTF ER and 64 percent from other parts of the MTF). For this same condition, civilian Prime enrollees received only 3 percent of their care from the MTF and 97 percent from civilian sources and non-Prime enrollees received about 5 percent of their visits at the MTF and 95 from purchased care (see Table 3). For some other conditions a lower percentage of care is delivered at the MTF. For example, for MTF Prime enrollees with acute bronchitis (PDX 466), 62 percent of the MTF Prime enrollee visits were received at the MTF and 38 percent in purchased care. For civilian Prime and non-Prime enrollees, about 2-5 percent of the visits were received at the MTF for this condition.

For some of these 14 conditions, most of the care is provided by civilian providers. For example, for adjustment reaction (PDX 309, a mental health condition which includes PTSD), about 80 percent of the care for MTF-enrolled ADFMs was provided by civilian providers. Similarly, for disorders of refraction (PDX 367, eye care), about 80 percent of the visits for MTF Prime enrollees were provided in the purchased care sector. On the other hand, only 16 percent of the care for normal pregnancy (PDX V22) for MTF Prime enrollees was provided in purchased care.

We examined the degree to which the three different types of ADFMs used emergency rooms for these 14 conditions. ADFM MTF enrollees received about 7 percent of their visits from emergency rooms while civilian Prime enrollees received 4 percent of their care from emergency rooms and non-enrolled beneficiaries received 5 percent of their care from emergency rooms.

The importance of enrollment to and establishing a relationship with a Primary Care Manager (“Primary Care Manager by Name” or PCM by name) has been targeted as an important Military Health System (MHS) goal since TRICARE’s inception. The Medical Home model for providing primary care further develops this concept. The Medical Home is a patient-centered, comprehensive and holistic model which focuses on such principles as team-based health care, population health, improved access and patient involvement with targeted outcomes in satisfaction, quality and efficiency/cost. Medical Homes could potentially save money as better primary care substitutes for lower utilization of avoidable inpatient and emergency services and other services resulting

from poor care coordination. The Army, Navy and Air Force have embraced the Medical Home approach in many of their MTFs. In September, 2009, Ms Ellen Embry, acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (HA) signed a policy memorandum instructing Services to implement the Medical Home model MHS-wide.

The Medical Home includes seven core principles:

- Personal Primary Care Provider (PCMBN)
- Primary Care Provider Directed Medical Practice (PCM is team leader)
- Whole Person Orientation (respectful, patient centered not disease or provider centered)
- Care is Coordinated and/or Integrated (across all levels of care)
- Quality and Safety (evidenced-based, safe medical care)
- Enhanced Access (meet access standards from the patient perspective)
- Payment Reform (incentivize the development and maintenance of the medical home)

Overall, in most of the 14 most common conditions, the MTF is providing the care roughly 75 percent of the time. Through the Medical Home model, we expect the level of care provided in MTFs for MTF enrollees to rise in FY 2010.

## **Alternatives**

We are also exploring how to better provide access for these acute conditions. Some of our health care support contractors are developing networks of convenience, urgent, or “minute” clinics to enhance access. However, our regulations still require a referral for non-emergent care so we are reinstating some limited healthcare finder functionality for after-hours and out-of-area care.

## **Sharing of Health Care Resources among Federal Health Care Programs**

---

We were asked to consider actions to expand and enhance sharing of health care resources among Federal health care programs, including designated providers (as that term is defined in section 721(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104-201; 110 Stat. 2593; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note)).

The Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) have a highly developed partnership under the oversight of the joint Health Executive Council (HEC). The HEC mandates resource sharing to promote cost effective use of Federal health care resources, improve access, and maintain high levels of clinical capability. Each year, the Departments submit a joint Report to Congress on the activities and accomplishments of DoD/VA health care resource sharing over the past fiscal year (FY), as mandated by Title 38, § 8111, Sharing of Department of Veterans Affairs and

Department of Defense Health Care Resources. The FY09 Annual Report to Congress captures several key joint programs which are symbolic of the nature of the current activities.

### **Mental Health/Traumatic Brain Injury**

One of the main focuses to expand and enhance health care resource sharing between DoD and VA is the prevention, identification, treatment, recovery, and reintegration for service members and veterans who are at risk for, or are experiencing, mental health (MH) conditions or Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). Both DoD and VA have significantly increased MH staffing levels in their respective facilities. While each Department has separate processes for measuring access to care standards for MH specialty visits, meeting its standards is a high priority for both Departments. In fiscal year 2009, DoD met 90 percent of its standards and VA met 95 percent of its standard. In November 2007, the Defense Centers of Excellence (DCoE) for Psychological Health (PH) and TBI was stood up to help meet current wartime challenges, and includes an embedded VA mental health leader. In October 2009, the Departments held a joint conference on mental health issues critical in providing service members, veterans and their families the best coordinated care and resources possible. The Departments will be implementing a number of recommendations that resulted from the conference in 2010.

On October 1, 2009, the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) released the first phase of a series of improvements to the International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM), Volumes 1 and 2, an initiative championed by DoD and VA. These improvements allow health care practitioners to identify more accurately the TBI conditions of service members, and further assists in the collection of data on those who have been diagnosed and treated for this injury. DoD and VA are currently working with NCHS on the second phase of code improvements, including new symptoms codes for cognitive and memory deficits associated with TBI.

The Departments have also collaborated extensively in the area of suicide prevention and risk reduction programming, including cross-participation of key staff in each Department's suicide prevention activities, development of standard operating procedures for collaboration between the DCoE help line and the VA suicide prevention lifeline, and shared participation in the suicide prevention work group of the Federal partnership on MH.

### **Foundational DoD/VA Health Data Sharing Initiatives**

DoD and VA continue their strong partnership in interagency health data sharing activities, delivering Health Information Technology solutions that significantly improve the secure sharing of appropriate electronic health information. In the last decade, health data sharing and interoperability activities between the Departments have increased

substantially, with the primary benefit being that providers have access to more complete and accurate health records for Service members and Veterans.

The first exchange of electronic healthcare information between the Departments occurred in 2001. Since that time, the Departments have incrementally expanded the type of information that is shared, and improved the manner in which the information is shared. The following initiatives are currently responsible for the majority of healthcare data that is exchanged between the Departments.

- Data Transferred from DoD to VA at the Point of Separation
- Data Accessible on Shared Patients
- Sharing Computable Data
- Medical Image Sharing
- NDAA FY 2008 Section 1635 Interoperability Milestone
- Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record (VLER)

### **Joint DoD/VA Facilities and Markets**

The Joint Market Opportunities (JMO) Work Group, under the auspices of the HEC Joint Facility Utilization and Resource Sharing Work Group, continues to identify opportunities for improving the delivery of health care through existing joint venture relationships and other market-based partnerships. In Phase I, the Work Group focused on improving the efficiency and relationships at eight VA/DoD joint venture sites: Albuquerque, NM; Anchorage, AK; El Paso, TX; Fairfield, CA; Honolulu, HI; Key West, FL; Las Vegas, NV; and North Chicago, IL while working with Biloxi, MS and Denver, CO to develop a Concept of Operations leading to joint venture status.

Phase II focused on establishing or improving a collaborative relationship at sites with funded construction projects and/or market areas with increased political interest in Federal resource sharing, utilizing lessons learned from the Phase I joint venture sites. In Phase III, the Work Group is looking to further the VA/DoD health care resource sharing relationship by focusing on sites with close proximity and high purchased care activity. The benefits that have been and can be realized from a joint venture relationship include increasing access to care, reducing infrastructure, improving efficiency, strengthening provider practices and quality, and mitigating the impact of deployment. These benefits are sufficient to export these joint venture arrangements to other sites and multi-market areas.

The North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the Naval Health Clinic Great Lakes will merge into one Federal Health Care Center (FHCC) on October 1, 2010, serving both DoD and VA populations. It will be the first integrated facility of its kind, encompassing all medical and dental care in Great Lakes and North Chicago. The FHCC will have an integrated single chain of command with a merged budget including both DoD and VA missions with VA as the lead partner. This will result in the FHCC being the only VA associated system in the

country with an Operational Readiness mission in support of wartime requirements and the pipeline to the Fleet. An Executive Agreement is currently being staffed that will serve as a formal agreement between the Departments regarding the standup and operation of the FHCC.

## **Medical Technology to Speed and Simplify Referrals**

We were asked to consider actions using medical technology to speed and simplify referrals for specialty care. The healthcare industry has long been aware and made use of electronic data transaction for administering referrals from an organization's internal care system and out into the purchased care networks. Enabling the controlling organization (i.e., the one that serves as the patient's primary care manager) to make best use of its internal resources in the overall care provided to the patient. TMA has sought to follow industry's lead, initiating the "Enterprise-Wide Referral and Authorization Systems (EWRAS) for TRICARE," but the project, which was initially well funded, failed to reach implementation stage.

The goal of the EWRAS is to simplify, integrate, and standardize the referrals and authorizations (R&A) process across the Military Health System (MHS) enterprise, and to enable MHS providers to serve the beneficiaries in a timely, efficient manner. Our information technology (IT) solution to this effort is referred to as EWRAS, a system that provides state-of-the-art R&A functionality to maximize the use of resources across the MHS. This system will provide a standardized business process, which allows for differing business rules to incorporate the different business processes (different specialties and different capabilities within specialties) at each MTF. The EWRAS will support appropriate links to existing automated systems through out the entire military health care environment.

### **Action Plan**

Based on prior agency research efforts, the following actions will have to take place to continue development:

- The R&A Working Integrated Product Team (WIPT), which consists of Service and TRICARE representatives, will be the source of the functional requirements and the concept for the EWRAS
- Timeline:
  - Process Development -- Six to nine months of work to analyze purchased care and direct care requirements, developing an enterprise process / approach. IM would convert the business functional requirements into technical requirements, working with the respective software / technical development teams to develop work and cost estimates, to brief to the HA and TMA senior leadership for approval and budgeting

- Implementation -- 15 to 18 months of work to develop the system and manual changes (to include development and testing) and briefings / trainings to all audiences

In summary, we believe the EWRAS will provide an enterprise approach for implementing the process / technical solution across the purchased and direct realms.

### **Plan to Improve Regional or National Staffing Capabilities**

---

<<This will be provided by Health Affairs during their coordination of the Report>>

(Per Sec. 721- (D) Actions to improve regional or national staffing capabilities in order to enhance support provided to military medical treatment facilities facing staff shortages.)

### **Plan to Improve Access to Health Care for Reservists**

---

We were asked to improve health care access for members of the reserve components and their families, including such access with respect to mental health care and consideration of access issues for members and their families located in rural areas. The Department has taken many steps to improve access to health care for all eligible beneficiaries in rural areas. While many of the reserve component (RC)<sup>2</sup> members and their families live in rural areas, our approach is to improve or enhance access to care for all eligible MHS beneficiaries living in rural areas.

RC members and family members are eligible for military health care benefits through a variety of TRICARE plans. See Table 1 for a list of TRICARE plans that are available to RC members and family members.

**Table 1 – TRICARE Plans Available to Reserve Component**

<b>TRICARE Plan</b>	<b>Enrollment</b>
TRICARE Prime	Yes
TRICARE Prime Remote	Yes
TRICARE Prime Remote for Active Duty Family Members	Yes
Uniformed Services Family Health Plan	Yes
Transitional Assistance Management Program	Yes
TRICARE Standard	No
TRICARE Extra	No

<sup>2</sup> Members of the Air National Guard, Air Force Reserve, Army National Guard, Army Reserve, Coast Guard Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, and Navy Reserve

TRICARE Reserve Select	No
Continued Health Care Benefit Program	No

### **Enrolled Beneficiaries**

Depending on health plan eligibility standards, geography, and personal choice, RC members and their families can elect to enroll with a network provider. As enrolled beneficiaries, care must be rendered within the access to care standards established by regulation. The managed care support contractors (MCSCs) are required to report any instance when an enrolled beneficiary is not being provided care within the standards.

### **Non-Enrolled Beneficiaries**

Non-enrolled beneficiaries have the greatest degree of flexibility to seek any TRICARE authorized provider. Unlike those beneficiaries enrolled to a network provider, non-enrolled beneficiaries do not have a statutorily-defined ATC standard that must be met. Rather, for non-enrolled beneficiaries, the goal is to provide healthcare as close to the beneficiary's home and in a timely manner. Through online provider directories, toll-free lines, and if nearby, TRICARE Service Centers, the MCSCs assist non-enrolled beneficiaries in locating a TRICARE-authorized provider to meet their health care needs in a timely manner.

### **ATC Improvements in Rural Areas**

The DoD has taken specific actions to improve health care access for RC members and family members, and in particular for those that live in rural areas.

The TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) conducts both provider and beneficiary satisfaction surveys that includes questions about access to care. Some of these surveys are directed by Congress. TMA conducted a Congressionally directed three year provider survey of TRICARE Standard providers <sup>3</sup>, concluding that 80% of civilian physicians accepted TRICARE Standard if they accept any new patients at all. This indicated a high understanding of the TRICARE Program as well as high acceptance of new TRICARE patients with the exception of psychiatrists.

In 2008, TMA started another round of surveys to assess ATC among other things.<sup>4</sup> The four-year round of provider surveys included non-physician behavioral health providers as well. Most ratings of access and satisfaction were similar between TRICARE Standard beneficiaries living in Prime Service Areas (PSAs) where there are

---

<sup>3</sup> National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2004, Section 723, and NDAA for FY 2006, Section 711.

<sup>4</sup> NDAA for FY2008, Section 711

provider networks typically providing a full range of health care services and TRICARE Standard beneficiaries living in Prime non-PSAs where there are few network providers and sometimes scarce TRICARE-authorized providers. In fact, access to care was statistically better in non-PSAs than in PSAs. However, only 69% of civilian providers accepted new patients would accept new TRICARE patients. However, the non-physician behavioral health providers along with results from two large metropolitan areas decreased the percentage.

Survey results are analyzed by TMA and the three TRICARE Regional Offices (TROs) that oversee the delivery of healthcare in the United States. The TROs, working in conjunction with their MCSC partners, identify areas for improvement and to determine whether or not there are available providers in the local area that can be asked to become TRICARE-authorized. In some cases, there may not be any providers in that town, or the nearest provide could be miles away. However, we recognize that there may be areas where access to health care is inadequate and, when we encounter them, we work to provide or arrange for this needed care.

Each TRO has an assigned TRICARE Standard Manager to oversee the TRICARE Standard program in each region and to ensure their contractor provides assistance to beneficiaries, including RC families, to find TRICARE-authorized providers in their local area.

Since there are typically no networks in the rural areas of the country, beneficiaries are often the provider's first contact with TRICARE if the provider is not already associated with TRICARE as a network or TRICARE-authorized provider. We developed a simple tear-out page describing to the provider how they might become a network or non-network provider. Beneficiaries should find it easier to inform providers about TRICARE and how to sign up to be a TRICARE-authorized provider. This tear-out page, initially placed in the TRICARE Reserve Select handbook, will eventually be placed in all TRICARE beneficiary handbooks during 2010.

We have streamlined the processes by which a provider can become either a TRICARE network provider or become authorized as a TRICARE provider. Most providers can simply submit an industry standard health care claim to start the process, or can find information and forms on each of the managed care support contractor's websites.

The TROs and their contractor partners perform outreach services to RC units, members, and families. They support the DoD Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, which is a DoD-directed program to deliver benefit information to soldiers of the Army Reserve and their families through all phases of the deployment cycle. At these events, they provide TRICARE information to the RC members and their families and assist them with any issues regarding their health care benefits through pre-deployment, during

deployment, and post-deployment. They also provide information about availability of providers and how RC members and their families can find a provider in their local area.

If access to specific health care services is severely impaired in a rural area, the DoD uses its locality waiver authority to raise the payments to providers to all similar services performed in that area. Payment rates can be established through addition of a percentage factor to an otherwise applicable payment amount, or by calculating a prevailing charge, or by using another Government payment rate. Higher payments will be paid on a claim by claim basis.

### **Access to Mental Health Care**

The Department has taken many steps to ensure that access to mental health providers is adequate. It has added 1,952 new mental health providers to the direct care system from May 2007 to May 2009, and added 10,220 additional mental health providers to the TRICARE civilian network during the same time frame. We recognize that there may be areas where access to mental health care is inadequate and, when we encounter them, we work to provide or arrange for this needed care.

In addition to strengthening the TRICARE network with mental health care providers, the Department is fielding two new mental health initiatives this summer. The first is offering the TRICARE Assistance Program which provides video chat-based short-term professional counseling, similar to employee assistance counseling, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. It provides unlimited access for ADSMs, their families, those enrolled in TRICARE Reserve Select, and those in the Transitional Assistance Management Program in the continental United States. It is a demonstration project and outcomes and satisfaction levels will help determine if it will become a permanent benefit.

The Department has also established a network of telemedicine mental health care for all beneficiaries by requiring the MCSCs to establish civilian “originating sites” where beneficiaries go to obtain care and a network of distant providers who provide telemedical mental health care. The requirement is for one civilian originating site in each Prime Service Area (PSA) since that is where the majority of ADSMs and their families live and work. In addition, we are requiring one originating site per region to be developed where there are significant numbers of TRICARE Prime Remote and/or TRICARE Reserve Select enrollees. We plan to further expand the number of originating sites at locations with significant Guard and Reserve populations.

## **Future Plans to Improve Access to Care**

While we are notably proud of our efforts so far to enhance access to care for RC members and their families, we are continuing to develop ways to improve access to care for beneficiaries in rural areas.

Our future plans include the development of an analytical model that analyzes where TRICARE Standard beneficiaries live in rural areas and where health care has been provided in that area in the past. The process should identify whether there is potential scarcity of health care providers in that area. The TROs and their MCSC partners will then follow up in those targeted areas to see if there are opportunities to recruit additional TRICARE authorized providers.

TRICARE beneficiaries are often savvy Internet users. While the MCSC websites have look-up capability to find a network provider, there is no automated tool to look up TRICARE-authorized providers. The tool will be modeled after the Medicare provider look-up tool. A link to the TRICARE website will be added to the Medicare website as most Medicare providers accept TRICARE patients.

While we believe that there are few complaints lodged with the MCSCs about access to care coming from TRICARE Standard beneficiaries, the Health Care Finder reports coming from the MCSCs do not contain sufficient detail to determine the type of beneficiary category nor the health plan. We plan to modify the new TRICARE managed care support contracts to provide this level of detail.

We will continue to survey both providers and beneficiaries to assess access to care and patient satisfaction issues. We will use the results to identify geographical areas that may not have sufficient numbers of TRICARE-authorized providers to satisfy the demand for healthcare in that area.

If access to care is severely impaired and additional providers cannot be persuaded to accept the prevailing TRICARE reimbursement rates, the Department will continue to evaluate the area for a locality reimbursement rates.

## **Actions to Ensure Access to Care Standards**

---

We were asked to consider actions to ensure consistency throughout the TRICARE program to comply with access standards which are applicable to both the commanders of military treatment facilities (MTFs) and our managed care support contractors (MCSCs).

Access to care standards have been in place since the beginning of TRICARE in 1995, and the standards have always applied to both the direct care and purchased care systems. Throughout these past 15 years of TRICARE operations, numerous policies have consistently sent this message. This year, to further highlight our access to care standards, we have consolidated eight access to care policy memorandums and are reissuing this consolidated memorandum to the Services for their further dissemination to the field.

In addition, each Service reviews their access to care metrics on a periodic basis. Service specific oversight is included in attachment 2.

### **New Budgeting and Resource Allocation Methodologies for MTF**

---

We were asked to consider actions to create new budgeting and resource allocation methodologies to fully support and incentivize care provided by military treatment facilities. The Military Health System currently utilizes a Prospective Payment System (PPS) for adjusting funding levels for patient care workload on a fee-for-service (FFS) basis. Medicare pre-defined resource intensity rates for discrete inpatient and outpatient services with adjustments for outliers serve as the foundation for the calculation. These resource intensity values are multiplied against a MHS-set financial amount per unit of resource to determine the funding adjustments for services (a fee paid for each service unit). Thus, as the volume of services increase, so does the funding provided to resource such care. Workload is projected for each fiscal year based on past performance and calculated population changes. One time-payments and funds for special programs are included. The amount is calculated to the MTF level yet rolled up to the service level and provided directly to the service medical headquarters. The services calculate the money to allocate to their operational elements based on their own specific funding methodologies.

Under a fee-for-service payment system, expenditures increase if the fees themselves increase, if more units of service are provided, or if more expensive services are substituted for less expensive ones. Thus the incentives for efficiencies in providing such care or outcomes of that care are not included. To begin addressing outcomes of care, in 2008, OSD(HA) provided additional funds to the services based on achievement of targets for specific quality indicators including patient outcomes, satisfaction and access. Funding was unavailable for this program in 2009.

Each service funds their MTFs by evaluating the previous year's financial execution, operational performance, and projected operational changes. The Army and Navy provide additional funds based on clinical and administrative outcomes as described below.

Throughout the year the Army makes funding adjustments based on operational performance and quality outcomes through the Performance Based Adjustment Model (PBAM), which is the U.S. Army Medical Command's enabling tool that links resources to strategically focused objectives. PBAM calculates a value of an MTF's healthcare output (workload) and adjusts funding with changes in that value. It also provides financial incentives (positive and negative budget adjustments) for improvements in key performance areas including provider efficiency, inpatient length of stay, patient satisfaction, compliance with HEDIS quality standards, and timeliness and accuracy of administrative functions in support of patient care. The funding for these incentives comes from their base funding. Use of PBAM has contributed to continuous growth in output and quality.

The Navy utilizes Performance Based Budgeting (PPB) to adjust/reallocate funds during the execution year based on workload, but additionally incentivizes specific clinical and administrative program outcomes. The targeted outcomes are associated with evidence based health care, individual medical readiness, public health and management processes associated with obstetrics. The funds available for PBB are identified as a withhold in each of their Region's annual planning figure. PBB then gives the Regions an opportunity to earn the money based on their performance. Each measure in PBB is given a weight based on leadership's decisions about relative importance.

The Air Force provides funds to their MTFs based on what was programmed during the Planning Programming and Budgeting process to build the Program Objective Memorandum (POM). Current year execution is adjusted for the factors reflected above, such as population and mission changes.

Studies are currently underway to investigate alternate funding mechanisms which incentivize efficiency and quality; provide increased capability for innovation based on local patient needs; and take a patient-centered, comprehensive and holistic approach to patient care. These include capitated or hybrid payments for primary care and prevention as well as bundled, episodic and carve-out payments (a single, comprehensive payment for a group of related services) for specific conditions. Standards of performance in areas focusing on clinical processes of care and health outcomes, patient experience with receiving care, access, and resource use (efficiency) are a key element to these approaches. Incentives for having attained relative or absolute performance thresholds, having improved over the prior year's performance, participating in specific initiatives, or participating in some combination thereof would be tied to the funding methodology.

Medicare's Acute Care Episode (ACD) demonstration for acute care episodes which provides a bundled payment for specific cardiac and orthopedic procedures with cost savings shared by the providers and patients is an example of one approach being evaluated by OSD(HA), Health Budgets and Financial Policy (HB&FP). The PROMETHEUS (Provider payment Reform for Outcomes Margins Evidence

Transparency Hassel-reduction, Excellence, Understandability and Sustainability) model is one in which bundled or episodic payments are provided for a specific chronic, acute or inpatient conditions. This additionally serves as an excellent example of options HB&FP is exploring as in order to create a new budgeting and resource allocation methodology to fully support and incentivize care provided by MTFs.

The 2010 plan for addressing new budgeting and resource allocation includes developing at 6 sites programs which target prevention and primary care while rewarding improvements in areas such as improvements in clinical processes and health outcomes, patient satisfaction, and readiness while achieving specific cost projections. Thus total system management as well as individual patient care will be incentivized.

Also during 2010 more advanced models for funding currently being studied will be evaluated further. As appropriate, future years will include piloting, revising and subsequently implementing system-wide such alternative funding mechanisms.

The Medical Home concept could potentially save money as better primary care substitutes for lower utilization of avoidable inpatient and emergency services and other services resulting from poor care coordination.

OSD(HA), HB&FP, is evaluating the different operational implementation models of the services as well as the Medical Homes' outcomes to determine potential future funding mechanisms which would be based not solely on the volume of services but also the outcome of those services. This would be an additional funding mechanism to support and incentivize care provided by the MTFs.

Alternative funding mechanisms to support and incentivize care provided by the MTFs target managing the health of the population while allowing MTF Commanders flexibility to implement care processes most appropriate for their beneficiaries. Aspects of different funding approaches which include FFS for specific conditions, episodic, bundled, carve-out and capitated payments for others, and rewards for clinical processes and outcomes are being evaluated in 2010 with limited pilot sites testing specific aspects of such approaches. Future years include further evaluation, piloting, and, as appropriate implementation in the future years.

## **Financing Options for Civilian Providers**

---

We were asked to consider actions regarding additional financing options for health care provided by civilian providers.

In considering possible new financing options for care provided by civilian providers, the Department is mindful of two key points. First, TRICARE's payment

methods and rates generally follow Medicare's payment methods and rates when this is feasible. Second, TRICARE generally is not a dominant payer in most markets or for most providers.

For both of these reasons, the Department is carefully monitoring Medicare's various demonstrations and other research initiatives related to new financing options, to assess which options might be feasible and appropriate for implementation in TRICARE.

Among the new Medicare approaches TRICARE is monitoring are:

- Paying an additional fee to network primary care managers (PCMs) who are willing and able to serve as a "medical home" for their Prime enrollees (based on a specified set of requirements and expectations for a medical home);
- Reducing the DRG payments to hospitals which have a high rate of re-admitted patients (within a specified time from the initial admission, and whether or not the re-admission occurs at the same hospital or a different hospital); and
- The feasibility of converting from fee-for-service to episode-based payments, at least for some conditions and some circumstances (e.g., perhaps in areas that are far from military treatment facilities, to avoid issues raised when the care for a given episode is partially delivered by MTF providers and partially by civilian providers).

## **Actions to Reduce Administrative Costs**

---

We were asked to consider actions to reduce administrative costs. The Department takes great pains to reduce administrative costs wherever possible. For example, in the T-3 contracts, we have changed our electronic claims processing requirements from having network providers submit claims electronically to requiring a percentage of all claims to be processed electronically. Another example is our T-3 requirement for the contractors to use TRICARE's suite of educational materials rather than just requiring it for provider educational materials.

However, our ability to process overseas claims that are over one year from the date of service are problematic and require an individual review and approval for each claim received. In Germany, for instance, claims are often received that are two or three years old. We would like to amend title 10 US Code 55 § 1106 (b) that requires all claims to be submitted no later than one year after the date of service, so we may more easily and quickly process claims for certain overseas providers. This will require a legislative amendment.

## **Plan to Control the Cost of Health Care and Pharmaceuticals**

---

We were asked to consider and take actions to control the cost of health care and pharmaceuticals. Actions taken by the Department to control the cost of health care and pharmaceuticals include:

- Ongoing implementation of federal pricing rebates for retail pharmacy prescriptions;
- Implementation of the hospital outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS);
- Reducing the MS-DRG payments to hospitals for patients with hospital acquired conditions;
- Incorporating incentive payments related to quality of care in the next generation of the Managed Care Support (MCS) contracts (the "T3" contracts); and
- Reducing MCS contractor risk for issues outside the contractor's control in the T3 contracts, which is expected to result in lower underwriting fees.

In the future, the Department is considering payment reform for sole community hospitals, which currently are paid based on billed charges. In addition, as recommended by multiple studies and commissions (including the Congressionally-mandated Task Force on the Future of Military Health Care), the Department continues to believe that an overall update of the TRICARE fee structure is an important element to control the costs of health care and pharmaceuticals. The Department will continue to work with Congress and other stakeholders to develop the way ahead on this important issue.

## **Audit DEERS to Improve Eligibility Determinations**

---

We were asked to consider actions to audit the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) to improve system checks on the eligibility of TRICARE beneficiaries. The Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) is the agency that operates DEERS. DEERS is the central system responsible for determining and reporting the eligibility of all persons for TRICARE medical benefits.

The TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) arranges through the DoD Inspector General for an annual audit of the Medicare Eligible Retiree Healthcare Fund (MERCHF) and Contract Resource Management (CRM). The MERCHF/CRM audit team visits the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA), the DoD Office of the Actuary, TMA offices and the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC).

The MERHCF audit looks primarily at retirees. They reconcile the DEERS eligibility to the DFAS retiree file as well as looking at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) information to verify Medicare Status. The CRM portion of

the audit looks at the full population of all TRICARE eligible beneficiaries, the source of the information and the authentication of the beneficiary's eligibility.

Since this audit covers all eligibles we believe this audit satisfies the primary requirement for system checks to improve the eligibility of TRICARE beneficiaries. Although the formal report from the latest audit has not been released yet DMDC did verify with the auditors that there were no data findings in the audit.

In the last two years DMDC created an internal Data Quality Initiative (DQI) with rotating teams of functional and technical experts who review the internal business processes within DMDC for improvement. The DQI reviews trace the date from receipt from the Services, the edit and extract process and the update to DEERS. Working with the DQI teams the Uniformed Service liaisons at DMDC has helped improve the accuracy and timeliness of the data.

As part of the annual legislative cycle which creates or adjusts benefits programs DEERS is always coordinating internal quality testing and checks and external quality testing and checks with data partners. These are additional opportunities where processes are refined and improved in addition to the annual audit and DQI reviews.

Since DEERS is the central data base for identity and beneficiary eligibility for TRICARE within DoD, a portion of the customer service process focuses on correcting information the beneficiary states is incorrect. Often the beneficiary perception is incorrect when the data is not.

The DMDC has created the "My DoD Benefits Portal" which allows beneficiary access and validate their benefits information. The portal not only displays the beneficiary information it provides the proper points of contact if the beneficiary needs to correct the information. This ensures the beneficiary receives the proper support to correct or update any information which the beneficiary believes is incorrect.

All these steps are part of DMDC's holistic approach to improve the processes to collect the data and provide eligibility. We believe the annual audit and internal DQI will provide the Department thorough controls over the audit of beneficiary eligibility provided by DEERS.

## **Plan to Enhanced Availability of Prevention and Wellness Care**

---

We were asked to consider actions, including a comprehensive plan, for the enhanced availability of prevention and wellness care. TRICARE has long been committed to providing all recommended preventive services and wellness care to its beneficiaries within the constraints of the statutory and regulatory authorities under which it operates. Clinical preventive services are generally considered to fall outside the requirement of being "medically necessary" treatment for an illness or injury, and are therefore excluded from coverage unless they have been specifically added to the benefit.

Starting with immunizations, a number of preventive and wellness services have been added to the TRICARE benefit over the years, without eliminating the overarching requirement of having to demonstrate medical necessity in treatment. We now have a TRICARE benefit that generally provides all currently recommended preventive and wellness services, but there are some differences in coverage between TRICARE Prime and TRICARE Standard/Extra, and the process of adding preventive and wellness services to the benefit often requires many months of formal rulemaking activity before the service is fully available.

## **LEGAL BACKGROUND**

### **Current Statutory and Regulatory Language**

By law, Title 10 U.S.C. Section 1079(a)(13), TRICARE may only pay for medically necessary care. This statute has been implemented by the Code of Federal Regulations (32 CFR 199.4), which states that TRICARE will pay for "medically necessary services and supplies required in the diagnosis and treatment of illness or injury." Therefore, TRICARE can cost share only medically necessary supplies and services. "Medically necessary care" is defined as appropriate and necessary treatment of a beneficiary's illness or injury according to accepted standards of medical practice and TRICARE policy. Medical necessity must be documented in clinical notes.

Benefits are restricted to those drugs, devices, treatments, or procedures for which the safety and efficacy have been proven to be comparable or superior to already recognized conventional therapies. The definition of reliable evidence in 32 CFR 199.2(b) (which is also included in Chapter 1, Section 2.1 of the TRICARE Policy Manual [August 2002 edition]) provides the TRICARE hierarchy of reliable evidence used to determine whether a drug, device, medical treatment or procedure has moved from the status of unproven to the position of nationally accepted medical practice as follows:

- Well-controlled studies of clinically meaningful endpoints, published in refereed medical literature
- Published formal technology assessments
- Published reports of national professional medical associations
- Published national medical policy organization positions
- Published reports of national expert opinion organizations.

### **Prime vs. Standard Beneficiaries**

Although there are many similarities between TRICARE Prime<sup>5</sup> and TRICARE Standard/Extra<sup>6</sup> regarding the preventive health care services that may be provided in the

---

<sup>5</sup> TRICARE Prime functions as an HMO.

current benefit, there are services that are expressly excluded under TRICARE Standard that may be offered under TRICARE Prime (see table 1 below). The excluded services for TRICARE Standard beneficiaries are, unfortunately, what make up the essence of a DM program.

<b>Table 1: Current Regulatory Authorities Addressing Preventive Services</b>
<b>10 U.S.C. 1079(a)(13)</b> - TRICARE may cost-share only services or supplies that are medically or psychologically necessary to prevent, diagnose, or treat a mental or physical illness, injury, or bodily malfunction as assessed or diagnosed by an authorized provider.
<b>10 U.S.C. 1074(d)</b> - Members and former members of the Uniformed Services are entitled to preventive healthcare services, including cervical cancer screening, breast cancer screening, and screening for colon and prostate cancer. (These same services are available to them and all dependents in MTFs under <b>10 U.S.C. 1077(a)(14)</b> , and to all covered beneficiaries under TRICARE under <b>10 U.S.C. 1079(a)(2)</b> ).
<b>10 U.S.C. 1079(a)(2)(B)</b> - Other health promotion and disease prevention visits for those over 6 years of age are authorized under TRICARE Standard <i>only</i> when done in connection with immunizations or with diagnostic or preventive cancer screening tests.
<b>10 U.S.C. 1097 – 1099</b> - The TRICARE Prime program is authorized to provide services not covered by TRICARE Standard, and the Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out this authority.
<b>32 CFR 199.18(b)(2)</b> - The following services are available under TRICARE Prime that are not authorized under TRICARE Standard:  <div style="margin-left: 40px;"> <p>(1) “Periodic health promotion and disease prevention exams;</p> <p>(2) Appropriate education and counseling services. The exact services offered shall be established under uniform standards established by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs).</p> <p>(3) In addition to preventive care services provided pursuant to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, other benefit enhancements may be added and other benefit restrictions may be waived or relaxed in connection with health care services provided to include the Uniform HMO Benefit. Any such other enhancements or changes must be approved by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) based on uniform standards.”</p> </div>

<sup>6</sup> TRICARE Extra functions as a PPO. However, for the purpose of this discussion, we include TRICARE Extra as part of Standard, the latter of which is generally point-of-care.

**32 CFR 199.4(g)(39)** - Under TRICARE Standard, education and counseling services are expressly excluded.

## **DoD Policy Framework**

### **DoDD 1010.10 Health Promotion, Disease and Injury Prevention**

This directive establishes the DoD requirement to implement health promotion, disease and injury prevention programs, and population health to improve and sustain military readiness and the health, fitness, and quality of life of military personnel, DoD personnel, and other beneficiaries. It acknowledges individual health status is greatly dependent upon family and community health and community health is intensely affected by the collective behaviors, attitudes, knowledge, and beliefs of community members. And it supports the achievement of the Department of Health and Human Services' Healthy People Goals and Objectives throughout the Department of Defense, by emphasizing DoD's adoption of the Healthy People Leading Health Indicators.

### **DoDD 6200.4 Force Health Protection and Readiness**

This directive implements programs and processes to promote and sustain a healthy and fit force, prevent injury and illness, and protect the force from health hazards. Promotion of health occurs via programs on injury prevention programs, dental health, good nutrition, tobacco use prevention and cessation, physical fitness and weight control, responsible sexual behavior, stress management, suicide prevention, and alcohol and drug abuse prevention. Sustainment of health occurs via health assessments with individual medical readiness updates on periodic bases and in association with deployments.

## **TRICARE Policy Manual**

The TRICARE Policy Manual (TPM) is incorporated by reference in the Managed Care Support Services (MCSS) contracts and is the principal vehicle for operating guidelines and instructions. The TPM provides a mechanism for keeping the contracts current by clarifying or modifying existing contractual requirements, adding new specifications/requirements and deleting obsolete information. The TPM contains operational policy necessary to implement Title 32 to the Code of Federal Regulations Part 199 (32 CFR 199). This manual augments the 32 CFR 199 and must be used in conjunction for complete policy information. Once a new benefit is adopted or an existing benefit is changed the new policy can be rapidly disseminated via the TPM, provided it is within the allowed scope of existing CFR language.

## **PREVENTIVE SERVICES**

### **Covered Services**

Coverage for preventive services is generally individually specified as exceptions to the blanket prohibition, above. TRICARE currently covers the following types of clinical preventive services, subject to age, sex, and other criteria. Specific criteria are generally based on current U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations, or Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Immunization Practices Advisory Committee recommendations, as appropriate.

- Body measurement
- Breast cancer exams/mammograms
- Blood pressure
- Cholesterol testing
- Colorectal cancer exams
- Eye exams (for Prime beneficiaries only)
- Hearing exams
- Hepatitis B screening
- Lipid panel
- Oral cavity and pharyngeal cancer exams
- Pediatric lead level screening
- Pelvic exams/Pap smears (cervical cancer screening)
- Prostate cancer exams
- Rubella antibodies
- School physicals
- Skin cancer exams
- Smoking cessation counseling
- Testicular cancer exams
- Thyroid cancer exams
- Tuberculosis screening
- Vision screenings (pediatric only)

With the exception of the newly implemented smoking cessation counseling benefit, TRICARE does not pay for preventive counseling services unrelated to a diagnosed disease. Similarly, other clinical preventive service benefits have been implemented only after Congress has allowed them. Each instance has required an accompanying change to the CFR, carving out an exception to the general prohibition.

With two exceptions, the preventive services allowed by TRICARE are the same for both enrollees. Those exceptions are eye exams and co-payment amounts.

TRICARE Prime and Standard beneficiaries may receive eye and vision screenings at birth and six months that test for visual acuity, ocular alignment and red reflex, but Prime may receive one comprehensive eye exam every two years, thereafter,

while Standard beneficiaries are allowed only two more comprehensive eye exams between the ages of three and six, and none thereafter.

With the except of certain cancer screenings, immunizations, and well-child visits, a standard beneficiary co-pays either 25% (regular TRICARE Standard enrollees) or 20% (TRICARE Extra enrollees) for any preventive service after the annual deductible is met, as listed below. There are no fees at all associated with covered preventive services for TRICARE Prime beneficiaries (if the services are rendered by an in-network provider).

Costs of preventive care associated with TRICARE Standard:

<b>Service:</b>	<b>Cost:</b>
Clinical Preventive Services	\$0 for colorectal, breast, cervical and prostate cancer screenings; immunizations; and well-child visits for children under age 6. For all other preventive services, cost-sharing begins after the annual deductible is met. Costs are determined by the type of provider you see: <b>Non-network providers:</b> 25% of allowable charges <b>Network providers:</b> 20% of negotiated fee
Immunizations for travel or those not routinely recommended by the CDC	Not covered.

## CURRENT INITIATIVES

### Smoking Cessation

The Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (FY09 NDAA), Public Law 110-417, Section 713 directed the Secretary of Defense to establish a smoking cessation program under the TRICARE program. As mentioned above, prior to enactment of Section 713 of Public Law 110-417, all supplies and services related to “stop smoking” programs were excluded from TRICARE coverage per the regulation, 32 CFR 199.4(g)(65). While “smoking cessation” is to be established as a benefit, the statutory language does not allow for “tobacco cessation” counseling or therapy for smokeless tobacco users. Instead, the Section 713 language only allowed for “access to print and Internet web-based tobacco cessation material” for smokeless tobacco users. The Department is currently engaged in the necessary rulemaking to allow counseling and nicotine replacement therapy for smokers alone. This will likely require establishment of an enrollment system, to ensure providers are only paid for providing smoking cessation services, because there are no available International Classification of

Diseases 9<sup>th</sup> Revision (ICD-9) codes that distinguishes between smoking and smokeless types of tobacco use or addiction.

## **Cancer Screening**

The Department is currently pursuing rulemaking to implement section 703 of the FY07 NDAA, Public Law 109–364. Specifically, that legislation authorizes breast cancer screening and cervical cancer screening for female beneficiaries of the Military Health System, instead of constraining such testing to mammograms and Papanicolaou smears. The new CFR rule allows coverage for “breast cancer screening” and “cervical cancer screening” for female beneficiaries of the Military Health System, instead of constraining such testing to mammograms and Papanicolaou tests. This rule ensures new breast and cervical cancer screening procedures can be added to the TRICARE benefit as such procedures are proven to be a safe, effective, and nationally accepted medical practice. This amends the cancer specific recommendations for breast and cervical cancer screenings to be brought in line with the processes for updating other cancer screening recommendations. The rule change also will allow TRICARE to provide as part of its basic benefit, all preventive services included in its Uniform HMO Benefit that are specified in 32 CFR 199.18.

## **Section 712 Military Health Risk Management Demonstration**

Section 712 of the FY09 NDAA required the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project and to develop and offer a wellness assessment to beneficiaries enrolled in the demonstration. The wellness assessment was to incorporate nationally recognized standards for health and healthy behaviors and was to be used to determine a baseline assessment, and repeated at appropriate intervals as determined by the Secretary. The wellness assessment was to include a self-reported health risk assessment survey, physiological and biometric measures, including at least, blood pressure, glucose level, lipids, nicotine use and weight. Non-Medicare eligible retired beneficiaries of the MHS and their dependents who are enrolled in TRICARE Prime and who reside in the demonstration project service were to be offered the opportunity to enroll in the demonstration project, which was to be conducted in at least three geographic areas within the United States where TRICARE Prime is offered, as determined by the Secretary.

Health Risk Management involves utilizing an online self-reported health risk assessment questionnaire to identify areas where TRICARE beneficiaries may be at risk to develop certain chronic illnesses. The questionnaire covers a wide range of lifestyle behaviors and health issues. In addition, physiological and biometric measures such as blood pressure, glucose level, lipids, nicotine use and weight are also considered to aid in determining the overall level of risk. The demonstration project will assess the effects of providing incentives, both monetary and non-monetary, along with wellness programs

and care management on healthy behaviors and lifestyle practices among non-Medicare eligible retired beneficiaries and their family members.

Each geographic site is responsible for identifying and enrolling a cohort of approximately three to four thousand non-Medicare eligible retired beneficiaries and their family members to participate in the demonstration. Following the enrollment period, the site will maintain the demographic, clinical, and other data required to evaluate the effectiveness of the demonstration. The service area sites will use a self reported Health Risk Assessment (HRA) survey designed to screen and identify the participants' health risk factors and provide targeted interventions that help prevent, manage and improve chronic conditions. They will perform all of the study participants' physiological and biometric measures, including at least blood pressure, glucose levels, lipids, nicotine use and weight. These service area sites will schedule follow up visits, encourage participants to take advantage of available online educational websites and enroll in established wellness programs. They will also direct participants to retake the HRA/biometrics annually to reassess health behaviors and outcomes. A toll-free phone line will be made available to answer questions regarding enrollment and monetary incentives from demonstration participants.

### **Section 714 Preventive Health Allowance Demonstration**

Studies have been performed in health organizations to assess the effectiveness of waiving co-pays for clinical preventive services, as well as financial incentives for healthy behavioral change. No studies to date have assessed the effects of providing financial incentives for increasing compliance with recommended and, in some instances, mandated clinical preventive services in an Active Duty military population. This demonstration project will be the first such effort among Active Duty military personnel and their family members. The results of this demonstration will have a direct impact on the future utility of this type of intervention.

Section 714 of the NDAA for FY09 authorizes the Department to conduct a demonstration project designed to evaluate the efficacy of providing an annual allowance to members of the armed forces to increase the use of preventive health services by such members and their dependents. To meet eligibility standards to receive this benefit, the member of the armed forces must be serving on active duty for a period of more than 30 days and meet the medical and dental readiness requirements for their branch of service. Not more than 1,500 members of each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps may receive a preventive health services allowance during any year, of which half in each Service shall be members without dependents and half shall be members with dependents. The Secretary of the military department concerned shall pay a preventive health services allowance to a member selected to receive the allowance in an amount equal to \$500 per year in the case of a member without dependents, and \$1,000 per year in the case of a member with dependents.

The Preventive Health Allowance demonstration project will be conducted to evaluate whether a preventive health services allowance will increase the utilization of clinical preventive services among Active Duty personnel and their family members. Clinical Preventive Services, with respect to age and gender-specific recommendations included in this study, are screening for colorectal cancer, breast cancer, cervical cancer, and prostate cancer; an annual physical exam; an annual dental exam; weight and body mass screening; and immunizations. The TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) will establish the methodology, implement the demonstration, and obtain the necessary data to evaluate the outcome effectively. There will be 1,500 Active Duty members enrolled from each Service, with 750 being single Active Duty members, and 750 having family members. The Secretary of the military department concerned shall pay the preventive health allowance at the rate of \$500 or \$1,000 per year for single Active Duty members or for Active Duty with family members respectively for those in compliance with the appropriate clinical preventive services recommendations. The selected participants will be provided information regarding the nature of the study, their obligations during the study, the incentive that can be achieved with compliance and a toll free telephone number for enrollment and/or questions.

### **Population Health Initiatives**

Population health focuses on maintaining and enhancing the health of the MHS population while ensuring the most efficient and effective possible use of resources. Population Health Improvement (PHI) is the balance of awareness, education, prevention and intervention activities required to improve the health of a specified population. This model connects self, MTF, worksite and community-based wellness and prevention activities with medical interventions that are centered on primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention to reduce morbidity and premature mortality and improve health.

The strategies and initiatives focus on modifying personal disease and injury risk, effectively changing behaviors to optimize health and enhance fitness, allowing health services providers to render necessary care while reducing unwarranted treatment variation, and achieving measurable improvements in performance and health status. MHS population health initiatives have recently included demonstration projects on healthy choices for life, which were designed to address tobacco, obesity, and alcohol.

### **Tobacco, Alcohol, and Obesity Demonstration Projects - Healthy Choices for Life**

In support of behavioral change, the DoD has developed and implemented a series of demonstration and pilot projects to address the key health behaviors associated with premature and preventable death identified in the 2002 and 2005 Health Related Behavior Survey of active duty personnel and the ongoing Healthcare Survey of DoD Beneficiaries. "Healthy Choices for Life," initiatives were evidence-based projects which

addressed tobacco use, obesity, and alcohol misuse and abuse among TRICARE beneficiaries. The initiatives primarily are focusing these health-promotion activities on disease prevention and the adoption of healthy behaviors, while testing the effectiveness of comprehensive benefits not currently covered by TRICARE.

The tobacco-cessation and weight-management demonstration projects were comprehensive behavioral interventions designed to encourage beneficiary lifestyle modifications. The tobacco-cessation demonstration project began in May 2006 and concluded in September 2008; it included a quit-line, which provided telephone-based tobacco cessation counseling 24 hours day, 7 days a week, web-based support and educational programs, and pharmacotherapy. Preliminary demonstration study results indicated increased cessation rates as measured at the completion of each milestone quarterly survey.

The weight-management demonstration was launched in July 2006 and concluded in September 2008. The demonstration study provided health/weight loss coaching, as well as telephone and web-based educational and motivational information and was designed to help TRICARE beneficiaries make and sustain lifestyle changes. These changes included regular physical activity and healthy diet behaviors, which evidence demonstrates enable study participants to lose weight and maintain weight loss. Study participants were enrolled in the randomized control trial and participated in the self-motivated programs. Preliminary demonstration results showed that participation in the study resulted in clinically significant, sustained reductions in measured weight loss as well as beneficiary reports of increased incidence of regular physical activity and improved dietary behaviors. The results of the weight management demonstration project provided evidence that behavioral modification is possible using a targeted web-based interface. Based on the demonstration results, an evidence-, population- and web-based, individually-tailored weight management program tailored after the VA's MOVE program will be made available to all TRICARE beneficiaries. This program will provide patient assessment tools and self-help guidance as well as the ability to share results and set goals with primary care managers.

VA developed an evidence-based, individually-tailored, population-based weight management program called VA MOVE.

The Program for Alcohol, Training, Research and Online Learning (PATROL) was a web-based alcohol abuse pilot project targeting young, active duty service members on eight military installations; the pilot project began in May 2006 and ended in September 2007. One month after the pilot study rollout, participants who participated in one of the programs reported a significant reduction in heavy and binge drinking. These results were sustained at the six month follow-up survey. Some of the PATROL findings are under consideration for being incorporated into the ongoing alcohol counter-marketing campaign.

## **Tobacco Cessation and Alcohol Education Campaigns**

In addition to the above three studies, social marketing campaigns to counter tobacco use and alcohol misuse/abuse were developed. These projects are ongoing and are targeted toward young enlisted active duty members, who are the most likely service members to use tobacco products and drink alcohol. They are operated by TMA with assistance from the military services, but outside of the covered services benefit and are therefore separately funded.

Despite decades of efforts to reduce the use of tobacco in military populations, tobacco use remains firmly entrenched in a significant segment of the military population, with new smokers and chewers starting every day. As measured in 2005, the prevalence of smoking among 18 to 25-year-olds on AD was 40 percent. Young enlisted soldiers and Marines smoke cigarettes at rates that exceed those of their civilian counterparts, and soldiers smoke cigarettes at a significantly higher rate than did members of any other Service. Also of concern is the fact that many personnel initiate tobacco use after entering the Service.

Responding to increased tobacco use among junior active-duty military personnel, the DoD implemented, and evaluated a national marketing and education campaign: “Quit Tobacco. Make Everyone Proud.” This campaign aimed at helping our active military personnel quit tobacco and lead healthier lives. The campaign targets 18- to 24-year-old active-duty, junior, enlisted personnel, particularly those with an expressed intention to quit.

Similarly, the alcohol counter-marketing campaign was implemented to target high levels of binge and heavy drinking among junior personnel. According to the 2005 HRB survey, binge drinking is roughly two times higher among the military population than the civilian population (about two-fifths and one-fifth, respectively). In particular, the incidence of heavy alcohol use and/or binge drinking is highest among younger, junior-level, enlisted male service members. With the goal of ensuring the health and well-being of all military personnel, TMA is addressing this issue through an alcohol abuse prevention education campaign, themed “Don’t Be That Guy,” that draws attention to the current levels of excessive drinking, informs service members about the negative consequences associated with such behavior, and encourages them to change their behaviors and reduce their consumption of alcohol.

Through marketing and outreach strategies that include leadership briefings, collateral materials distribution, and web and electronic marketing, the two campaigns encourage their respective audiences to visit either [www.ucanquit2.org](http://www.ucanquit2.org), a web-based cessation support and education tool that features a personalized quit plan, facts, games,

multimedia features, and private chat with trained cessation counselors, or [www.thatguy.com](http://www.thatguy.com), a web-based alcohol education tool that features humorous anecdotes, jokes, facts, games, multimedia features, and download materials directed at changing attitudes and behaviors that lead to excessive drinking.

## **Force Health Protection and Readiness**

The Pre-Deployment Health Assessment is a force health protection process and form, which allows deploying military and DoD employees to record information about their general health and share any concerns about their health before they deploy. The assessment documents a member's self-reported health readiness status and helps to identify any needed clinical evaluations that may be needed prior to deploying and ultimately helps determine whether the individual is deployable.

The Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHA) is a force health protection process and form to enhance the deployment-related continuum of care. Targeted to be completed by service members and DoD employees not earlier than 30 days before the expected return from deployment date and not later than 30 days after return from deployment, the PDHA provides education, health screening, and a general health assessment to identify and facilitate access to care for deployment-related physical health, mental health and readjustment concerns.

The Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHRA) is a force health protection targeted for completion by Service members and DoD employees at three to six months after returning from contingency operations, the PDHRA provides education, health screening, and a general health assessment to identify and facilitate access to care for deployment-related physical health, mental health, and readjustment concerns. Key elements of the PDHRA include outreach, education, screening, health assessment, referral for detailed evaluation and treatment, and any necessary medical follow-up. Screening is conducted to identify current conditions, while education is important for concerns and for issues that may emerge later.

## **Health Assessment Review Tool (HART)**

The Health Assessment Review Tool (HART) is an automated self-reported health information survey that was developed specifically for Military Health System (MHS) beneficiary health assessments. The HART survey lies at the core of the MHS self-reporting strategy and is the only tool currently available for systematically identifying preventive health care needs and high-risk health behavior for the MHS. This mechanism helps to identify patients who may utilize high levels of healthcare services, and allows them to be matched to appropriate Primary Care Managers. HART is only available to authorized TOL beneficiaries. Beneficiaries must be registered on TOL and logged into TOL to access the health information survey links.

Currently, there are three versions of the HART form available to beneficiaries. The Health Assessment Review Tool-Accession (HART-A) tool asks questions about service member's health and life experiences prior to joining the military. The information provides a baseline for future comparisons and helps your monitor health throughout their military career. The Health Assessment Review Tool-Readiness (HART-R) tool asks questions about health and personal behaviors to guide medical providers in helping to protect health, encourage fitness, and maximize job performance of service members. All Active Duty, National Guard, and Reserve service members can complete a HART-R as part of their annual periodic health assessment (PHA). Finally, a new Health Assessment Review Tool (HART) Full questionnaire is now available on TRICARE Online. TRICARE beneficiaries who have enrolled in the Military Health Risk Management Demonstration Project and have been asked to complete the HART-F can access the tool and follow the instructions they have been given. All other TRICARE beneficiaries are welcome to complete the HART-F survey in support of the Military Health System's goal to increase health risk awareness.

## **Disease Management**

As of June 1, 2007, TMA had established a consistent approach to identifying and evaluating disease management (DM) services for TRICARE beneficiaries less than 65 years old who had a diagnosis of chronic asthma and congestive heart failure (effective in September 2006) and/or diabetes (effective in June 2007), to include both TRICARE Prime and non-Prime beneficiaries residing in the West, South, and North regions. However, because of the restrictions to counseling benefits and co-payments required for services delivered to TRICARE Standard beneficiaries, TMA found it necessary to conduct a demonstration project to offer TRICARE Standard beneficiaries the same benefits that TRICARE Prime beneficiaries receive under the DM program. The purpose of this demonstration is to evaluate DM program applicability for Standard beneficiaries, in terms of the same clinical, utilization, satisfaction, and financial outcomes. Once the evaluation results are obtained, the Department will again need to undertake the rulemaking process in order to specify the DM benefit to be provided.

## **Behavioral Health**

Prevention and wellness in behavioral health are centered on two basic tenets: 1) that preventing the onset of stress and ameliorating its intensity and duration promotes emotional well-being and in part defines psychological resilience, and 2) identification and early treatment of mental disorders and their precursors is key to ameliorating the duration and severity of disabling psychological symptoms. The approaches taken by DoD are varied and reflect both common practice and innovation.

Resilience is generally thought of as the ability to effectively cope with, adapt to, and overcome adversity, stress, and challenging experiences. Training programs such as the army's Battlemind Training <https://www.battlemind.army.mil/>, Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program and the Navy funded FOCUS project seek to teach skills that

will lessen the impact of moderate and severe stressors on individual and family health. The Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program <http://www.army.mil/csf/> focuses on optimizing five dimensions of strength: physical, emotional, social, spiritual and family and uses individual assessments, tailored virtual training, classroom training and embedded resilience experts to provide skills to soldiers and family members. The FOCUS project <http://www.focusproject.org>, is a resiliency-building program designed for military families and children facing the multiple challenges of combat operational stress during wartime. It seeks to help families to identify and build upon their existing strengths and positive coping strategies, to communicate and better understand how each were affected by deployment, assist couples to work more effectively as a team in parenting their children before, during, and after deployment and increase parents' skills in dealing more effectively with some of the emotional and behavioral reactions that children can have when experiencing stress.

Since 2007 DoD has created several on-line mental health self-assessment and self-care resources (realwarriors.net, militarymentalhealth.org, and afterdeployment.org).

Afterdeployment.org is a comprehensive web resource, developed under the direction of the DoD. It deploys state-of-the-art internet-based education, assessment, skill-building and treatment tools that can be used by service members (SMs) alone; used in conjunction with primary care manager support; or used in conjunction with mental health care providers. Users have access to on-line assessments, learning tools, and proven self-help strategies to help participants understand their adjustment concerns and engage in self-initiated help for their behavioral health problems, including symptoms related to post-traumatic stress. The site is designed to attract and serve Reserve, National Guard and Active Duty SMs and their family members who have not yet sought medical care and are not receiving treatment, though it is expected that the resources offered at the site will be extremely useful to those persons who are already in treatment. Problem-focused programs (sleep, anger, depression, stress, etc.) are tailored to meet the needs of SMs and their families. (See <http://www.afterdeployment.org>).

The Mental Health Self-Assessment Program (MHSAP) at <https://www.militarymentalhealth.org> is a voluntary, anonymous mental health and alcohol screening and referral program offered to families and service members affected by deployment or mobilization. It is offered online 24/7, as well as through in-person events. The MHSAP is funded by the DoD Office of Health Affairs.

The Real Warriors Campaign <http://www.realwarriors.net> is an initiative launched by the Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury (DCoE) to promote the processes of building resilience, facilitating recovery and supporting reintegration of returning service members, veterans and their families.

While resilience programs remain largely unproven, there is considerable activity in their evaluation both within and outside of DoD. Most importantly, efforts are underway to determine how to best:

- Implement to the most effective and efficient state practicable the measures that are already in place, ensuring that implementation adheres to the evidence base existing for those activities.
- Fully implement integrated behavioral health in primary care to the extent supported by available evidence.
- Focus resilience program implementation and evaluation efforts on a few initiatives rather than diluting resources over a large number of activities.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Because preventive services benefits have been added piecemeal over the years, via statutory changes that expand the basic benefit for medically necessary treatments, addition of new or significant modifications to existing preventive services benefits almost always require the Department to undergo the rulemaking process. This takes months or even years to carry out. The greatest improvement to timely delivery of recommended preventive services would be a new statutory authorization allowing the Department to adopt recommended preventive services without specifically listing each one within the Code of Federal Regulations.

### **Technology to Improve Health and Preventive Care Communications**

We were asked to consider actions using technology to improve direct communication with beneficiaries regarding health and preventive care. The Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) is working with the Uniformed Services, the TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) and the Department of Veterans Affairs to capture permission based e-mail data for use in benefits correspondence and notification to the beneficiary.

The permission based e-mail capture is being implemented in several business areas with DMDC and TMA to reduce the cost of benefit change correspondence and to provide more timely (no mail time) notification to the beneficiary of benefit changes. DMDC expects to implement the first phase of this process through DEERS with the implementation of the next generation of TRICARE Managed Care Support Contracts by March 2011.

### **Performance Metrics**

<<This will be provided by Health Affairs during their coordination of the Report>>

(Per Sec. 721- (N) Actions to create performance metrics by which to measure improvement in the TRICARE program.)

## **Quality Assurance — Ensuring No Adverse Impact to Cost, Access, or Care**

---

In undertaking the aforementioned actions, we were asked to ensure we continue or enhance the current level of quality health care provided by the Department of Defense and the military departments with no adverse impact to cost, access, or care. To support that goal, we've issued a Request for Proposal for the TRICARE Quality Monitoring Contract (TQMC) as a follow-on the National Quality Monitoring Contract.

The purpose of this contract is to assist Health Affairs, TRICARE Management Activity (TMA), the TRICARE Regional Offices (TRO), the Designated Provider Program Office (DPPO), the TRICARE Overseas (TAO) Europe, Pacific and Latin America/Canada Program Offices, the TRICARE Dual Eligible Fiscal Intermediary Contract (TDEFIC), the Pharmacy and Dental Program Offices, by providing the Government with an independent, impartial evaluation of the care provided to Military Health System (MHS) beneficiaries.

The TRICARE Quality Monitoring Contractor (TQMC) shall review care provided by the Designated Providers (DP) under the Uniformed Services Family Health Plan (USFHP), the Managed Care Support Contracts (MCSCs) and the TDEFIC contractor. In addition, the TQMC will review records from other TRICARE contractors (e.g., TRICARE pharmacy and dental contractors) to satisfy requirements to validate the quality of care delivery under the TRICARE benefit.

The Objectives under this contract are:

- To provide an independent, impartial evaluation of the health care provided to the MHS beneficiaries.
- To evaluate “best value health care” as defined in the TRICARE Operations Manual.
- To measure, evaluate, and identify superior quality health care services and recommend means to transfer successes.
- To provide comprehensive and timely reviews that are consistent with all TRICARE requirements, to ensure receipt of appropriate levels of health care for all beneficiaries.

## **Conclusion**

---

In conclusion, we are undertaking a wide array of actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program. Subsequent reports will highlight the progress made in the undertaking of such actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

# Attachment 1

## Table 1

### ADD MTF Prime Enrollees: Number of Visits by Place of Service for 14 Common Conditions in FY09

PDX	Description	MTF Prime Enrollees						Total
		MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	12,913	46,632	4,701	4,814	224	3,070	72,354
309	Adjustment reaction	388	49,207	194	208,315	1,075	6,031	265,210
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	2	41,486	1	197,925	311	7,174	246,899
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	8,703	45,672	3,126	10,201	336	4,031	72,069
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	23,652	128,967	11,630	25,443	1,574	10,765	202,031
461	Acute sinusitis	2,839	28,097	1,610	12,257	357	4,694	49,854
462	Acute pharyngitis	14,861	96,084	6,735	13,733	463	7,150	139,026
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	31,297	196,531	11,050	23,171	1,044	12,558	275,651
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	5,606	28,630	5,122	9,114	472	6,330	55,274
477	Allergic rhinitis	1,453	97,383	372	52,953	415	1,513	154,089
493	Asthma	6,388	82,747	4,988	20,942	2,166	3,625	120,856
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	3,726	61,223	1,601	10,414	255	1,890	79,109
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	4,131	80,888	2,230	196,769	1,746	6,042	291,806
V22	Normal pregnancy	271	250,796	88	39,865	629	7,571	299,220

PDX	Description	MTF Prime Enrollees						Total
		MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	18%	64%	6%	7%	0%	4%	100%
309	Adjustment reaction	0%	19%	0%	79%	0%	2%	100%
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	0%	17%	0%	80%	0%	3%	100%
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	12%	63%	4%	14%	0%	6%	100%
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	12%	64%	6%	13%	1%	5%	100%
461	Acute sinusitis	6%	56%	3%	25%	1%	9%	100%
462	Acute pharyngitis	11%	69%	5%	10%	0%	5%	100%
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	11%	71%	4%	8%	0%	5%	100%
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	10%	52%	9%	16%	1%	11%	100%
477	Allergic rhinitis	1%	63%	0%	34%	0%	1%	100%
493	Asthma	5%	68%	4%	17%	2%	3%	100%
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	5%	77%	2%	13%	0%	2%	100%
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	1%	28%	1%	67%	1%	2%	100%
V22	Normal pregnancy	0%	84%	0%	13%	0%	3%	100%

## Attachment 1

### Table 2

## ADD Civilian Prime Enrollees: Number of Visits by Place of Service for 14 Common Conditions in FY09

Number of Visits		Civilian Prime Enrollees						Total
PDX	Description	MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	1,395	535	3,051	27,849	817	1,758	35,405
309	Adjustment reaction	56	1,674	110	118,360	952	2,743	123,895
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	-	1,505	-	99,313	691	309	101,818
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	910	557	2,144	31,046	651	1,705	37,013
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	2,800	1,572	8,300	114,709	2,599	5,483	135,463
461	Acute sinusitis	359	233	1,146	59,594	866	3,135	65,333
462	Acute pharyngitis	1,898	730	5,418	69,153	1,067	4,567	82,833
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	3,553	1,697	7,705	116,794	2,033	6,033	137,815
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	690	274	3,783	42,475	793	3,898	51,913
477	Allergic rhinitis	160	1,280	287	102,390	1,218	1,151	106,486
493	Asthma	805	1,292	3,609	61,043	2,197	3,010	71,956
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	490	699	1,261	31,888	669	1,013	36,020
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	529	1,207	1,931	131,801	2,036	2,428	139,932
V22	Normal pregnancy	24	27,765	81	31,645	1,243	5,987	66,745

Percent of Total		Civilian Prime Enrollees						Total
PDX	Description	MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	4%	2%	9%	79%	2%	5%	100%
309	Adjustment reaction	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	2%	100%
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	0%	1%	0%	98%	1%	0%	100%
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	2%	2%	6%	84%	2%	5%	100%
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	2%	1%	6%	85%	2%	4%	100%
461	Acute sinusitis	1%	0%	2%	91%	1%	5%	100%
462	Acute pharyngitis	2%	1%	7%	83%	1%	6%	100%
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	3%	1%	6%	85%	1%	4%	100%
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	1%	1%	7%	82%	2%	8%	100%
477	Allergic rhinitis	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	1%	100%
493	Asthma	1%	2%	5%	85%	3%	4%	100%
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	1%	2%	4%	89%	2%	3%	100%
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	0%	1%	1%	94%	1%	2%	100%
V22	Normal pregnancy	0%	42%	0%	47%	2%	9%	100%

## Attachment 1

### Table 3

## ADD Non-Prime Enrollees: Number of Visits by Place of Service for 14 Common Conditions in FY09

PDX	Number of Visits Description	Non-Prime Enrollees						Total
		MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	994	1,156	1,767	13,143	320	1,096	18,476
309	Adjustment reaction	31	1,631	76	52,731	547	2,094	57,110
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	-	1,429	2	32,190	198	94	33,913
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	704	1,280	1,087	14,686	266	967	18,990
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	1,449	2,210	3,819	53,208	1,268	3,222	65,176
461	Acute sinusitis	283	433	612	31,886	419	2,138	35,771
462	Acute pharyngitis	1,105	1,278	2,599	33,400	456	2,812	41,650
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	2,859	5,114	4,358	56,936	1,058	3,688	74,013
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	557	999	2,293	21,904	538	3,551	29,842
477	Allergic rhinitis	129	1,394	138	44,244	352	551	46,808
493	Asthma	412	1,482	1,916	26,668	1,102	2,001	33,581
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	378	1,551	715	15,773	251	701	19,369
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	375	2,069	1,304	77,973	2,471	1,987	86,179
V22	Normal pregnancy	37	11,901	75	21,773	962	4,541	39,289

PDX	Percent of Total Description	Non-Prime Enrollees						Total
		MTF ER	MTF NonER	Civ ER	Civ Off	Civ HOPD	Civ Oth	
079	Viral and chlamydial infection in conditions classified elsewhe	5%	6%	10%	71%	2%	6%	100%
309	Adjustment reaction	0%	3%	0%	92%	1%	4%	100%
367	Disorders of refraction and accommodation	0%	4%	0%	95%	1%	0%	100%
372	Disorders of conjunctiva	4%	7%	6%	77%	1%	5%	100%
382	Suppurative and unspecified otitis media	2%	3%	6%	82%	2%	5%	100%
461	Acute sinusitis	1%	1%	2%	89%	1%	6%	100%
462	Acute pharyngitis	3%	3%	6%	80%	1%	7%	100%
465	Acute upper respiratory infections of multiple or unspecified si	4%	7%	6%	77%	1%	5%	100%
466	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	2%	3%	8%	73%	2%	12%	100%
477	Allergic rhinitis	0%	3%	0%	95%	1%	1%	100%
493	Asthma	1%	4%	6%	79%	3%	6%	100%
692	Contact dermatitis and other eczema	2%	8%	4%	81%	1%	4%	100%
719	Other and unspecified disorders of joint	0%	2%	2%	90%	3%	2%	100%
V22	Normal pregnancy	0%	30%	0%	55%	2%	12%	100%

### **Air Force Efforts to Improve Access to Care-**

#### **The Family Health Initiative (FHI), Access to Care (ATC), and Monitorability**

- FHI and one of its elements, ATC, address Overall Satisfaction with Health Care and DoD's assessment of Prime survey data
  
- FHI goal is to create an enjoyable and productive practice environment that promotes and delivers quality, evidence-based care to our patient populations
  - Improved patient continuity to ensure the patient sees their assigned provider
  
  - Improved support staff ratios and periods of assignment to foster comprehensive care delivery teams
  
  - Maintaining high appointment levels to ensure appointment availability with that provider/team
  
  - Monitoring established civilian and evidence-based metrics to ensure desired outcomes are realized with respect to utilization and prevention
  
  - Inviting patient and provider/staff feedback to achieve and maintain high levels of satisfaction, and to maintain stakeholder trust and loyalty
  
- ATC is a tenant of FHI to ensure all available resources are optimized, to include appointment utilization
  - Educate and train all care delivery roles on how to optimize appointments, supporting staff and technologies, and to employ evidence-based techniques
    - Conferences held for all roles multiple times annually, supported by SMEs and additionally accessible via the Surgeon General's Knowledge Exchange
  
  - Monitor appointment usage to ensure availability to care is not wasted
  
  - Monitor purchased care that could have been provided at the MTF to ensure appointment optimization
  
  - Monitor and develop evidence-based metrics to support actions needed to achieve FHI/MHS goals
  
  - Site, descriptions and links have been provided and serve as baseline for discussions at all levels within the AFMS

Examples of current Metrics:

Access Improvement Module	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Drillable and roll-up capable ATC statistics for MTF. Daily analysis/ comparison</li> <li>- Appointment demand and supply by provider, by appointment type</li> </ul>	<a href="https://aim.afms.mil/">https://aim.afms.mil/</a>
AF/SG Balanced Scorecard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Access (Routine, Acute, Wellness)</li> <li>- Ambulatory Data Module (ADM)</li> <li>- PCM Schedule Availability</li> </ul>	<a href="https://egl.afms.mil/">https://egl.afms.mil/</a>
AFMS Knowledge Exchange (Health Benefits Section)	<b><u>Access &amp; Appointing</u></b>	<a href="https://kx.afms.mil/healthbenefits">https://kx.afms.mil/healthbenefits</a>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- APS Phase III</li> <li>- ATC Seminar Presentations</li> <li>- Policies &amp; Directives</li> <li>- Reports</li> <li>- T-Nex Appointing</li> </ul>	
Appointing Information System Hands On Training Course	To register for this course go to the following website and then click on the Appointing Info Systems Icon on the front page	<a href="https://kx.afms.mil/atceducation">https://kx.afms.mil/atceducation</a>
Appointment Equalizer	What if scenario to tool to assist Access Managers determine the right supply of appointments	<a href="https://aimae.afms.mil">https://aimae.afms.mil</a>
BP Radar	Tool to assist business plan managers to make targets at their MTFs	<a href="https://bpradar.afms.mil">https://bpradar.afms.mil</a>
	<b><u>Business Planning</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Business Plan Enrollment Analysis</li> <li>- Business Plan RVU Analysis</li> <li>- Business Plan RWU Analysis</li> </ul>	
Executive Information & Decision Support (EI/DS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Basic M2 Training</li> <li>- Customer Satisfaction Survey Data</li> <li>- DEERS Point-in-Time Extract (PITE) – Eligible Beneficiaries</li> <li>- Expense Assignment System</li> </ul>	<a href="https://eids.ha.osd.mil">https://eids.ha.osd.mil</a>

	<p>IV (EAS IV)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Health Care Provider Record (HCPR)</li> <li>- Health Care Service Record (HCSR) Institutional Claims</li> <li>- Health Level 7 (HL-7)</li> <li>- Non-Availability Statements (NAS)</li> <li>- Pharmacy Data Transaction Service (PDTS)</li> <li>- Standard Ambulatory Data Record (SADR)</li> <li>- Standard Inpatient Data Record (SIDR)</li> <li>- TRICARE Encounter Data (TED) Claims</li> <li>- TRICARE Enrollment File</li> <li>- Worldwide Workload Report</li> </ul>	
Executive Global Look (EGL)	<p><b><u>Resources</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Access to Care</li> <li>- ADM Accuracy</li> <li>- ADM Completeness</li> <li>- PCM Schedule Availability</li> <li>- Prime Containment</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Customer Satisfaction: Service Delivery Assessment (SDA)</u></b></p>	<a href="https://egl.afms.mil/">https://egl.afms.mil/</a>
EGL Virtual Analyst & Push Reports	Drill down reports to various EGL metrics and more	<a href="https://eglva.afms.mil/">https://eglva.afms.mil/</a>
MHS Guide to Access Success	Joint service comprehensive guide of appointing and scheduling business rules	<a href="http://www.tricare.mil/tma/tai/cguide.aspx">http://www.tricare.mil/tma/tai/cguide.aspx</a>
MHS Insight Tool	<p>MHS designed Access To Care Metrics. Must have applied for access. There are 3 metrics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- PCM Continuity Metric</li> <li>- Booking Success Metric</li> <li>- Third Available Routine Metric</li> </ul>	<a href="https://eids.ha.osd.mil">https://eids.ha.osd.mil</a>
NPI HA Policies		<a href="http://www.tricare.mil/hipaa/identifiers.html">http://www.tricare.mil/hipaa/identifiers.html</a>
Population Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Population Health Definition</li> <li>- Pop Health Improvement Plan</li> </ul>	<a href="http://www.mhsophsc.org/public/home.cfm">http://www.mhsophsc.org/public/home.cfm</a>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Conferences &amp; Training</li> <li>- Resources</li> </ul>	
TRICARE Access Imperatives Website	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Best Business Practices</li> <li>- <b>MHS Guide For Access Success</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Education &amp; Training</li> <li>o Performance Measures/Metrics</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Policies, Documents, and Presentations</li> <li>- What Can Sites Implement Now?</li> </ul>	<a href="http://www.tricare.mil/tai/">http://www.tricare.mil/tai/</a>
TRICARE Management and Measurement Tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Data Quality Metrics and Reports</li> <li>- Executive Metrics (TOPS)</li> <li>- Military Health System Performance Measures</li> <li>- TRICARE Access Imperatives</li> <li>- TRICARE Operations Center</li> </ul>	<a href="http://www.ha.osd.mil/hbfp/resources.cfm">http://www.ha.osd.mil/hbfp/resources.cfm</a>

### **Army Medical Department's Access to Care Efforts**

The Army Medical Department (AMEDD) and its Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs) are decisively engaged in improving access to care (ATC) for Soldiers and their Families. Our ultimate goal is to have the right provider, providing care at the right time, using the right venue that's best for the beneficiary.

In January 2009, The Army Surgeon General briefed the Chief of Staff of the Army on the AMEDD's plan to "fix ATC". One of the first steps to improve ATC was the US Army Medical Command (MEDCOM) Chief of Staff's approval and distribution of \$6.2M in Jan 2009 to support the purchase of additional primary care teams to improve access at 12 installations. A total of \$12M is authorized to support a total of 28 additional primary care teams at the 12 installations.

In March, 2009, Operation Order (OPORD) 09-36 (ATC Campaign) was published. This OPORD contains 11 specific focus areas with specified tasks. The focus areas are as follows:

- Ensuring valid and appropriate MTF enrollment
- Improving the patient appointing and access process
- Increasing use of TRICARE Online appointing
- Standardizing schedule/template management
- Ensuring timely and appropriate referral management
- Improving Primary Care Manager (PCM)/Team continuity of care with beneficiaries
- Improving customer service and beneficiary education
- Increasing patient satisfaction
- Optimizing use of TRICARE network when demand exceeds MTF capability
- Ensuring command oversight
- Maintaining visibility of all primary care provider assignments

The MEDCOM is also providing incentives for MTFs to increase/improve primary care and behavioral health access by increasing reimbursement rates within the AMEDD Performance Based Adjustment Model (PBAM). Furthermore, patient satisfaction metrics were also incorporated into the FY09/10 PBAM. In FY09, the AMEDD distributed \$8.7M to the MTFs that had the best performance towards improved access and patient satisfaction. Currently the MEDCOM is analyzing potential metrics and methodology for financial withholds from MTFs that do not achieve certain ATC standards. The Army Surgeon General approved financial awards recognizing MTFs that greatly improve access to care as measured by eight key metrics. Those awards will be distributed every six months at the MHS Conference and the Army Medical Symposium.

In the August/September 2009 timeframe, each MEDCOM Regional Medical Command (RMC) commander conducted ATC back-briefs to The Surgeon General (TSG) to assess their compliance in meeting ATC standards.

Additionally, efforts to improve access by hiring the remaining authorized PCM teams and planning for implementation of new Off-Post Community-Based Primary Care Clinics (CBPCC) occurred. Implementation of 22 CBPCCs in 14 markets will offer convenience, continuity and enhanced access for potentially more than 160,000 Active Duty Family Members. Continued vigilance on proper alignment of enrollment with MTF capacity, increased primary care appointments to meet demand, and a well designed Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) model to increase the percentage of patients seeing their PCM (primary goal) or PCM-Team are the cornerstones of success in access to care. The Army Surgeon General/MEDCOM Commander will hold these RMC Commander back-briefs on a quarterly basis, at a minimum.

ATC was a major Department of Army focus and a critical element of the AMEDD's support of the Army Family Covenant in FY09 and will continue to be in the coming years. Primary care is the center of gravity at our MTFs and applies to both the Direct Care System (our MTFs) as well as beneficiaries referred to the Purchased Care System (TRICARE civilian network).

### **Joint Collaboration efforts to support Improved ATC within the entire Military Health System.**

#### **Military Health System Patient-Centered Medical Home**

The AMEDD's Concept of Operations for the MHS PCMH is being finalized with the MEDCOM Clinical Service Division as lead. The PCMH concepts are to be applied to our CBPCC, but will have broader application to MTF based care. Alterations of the concept must be evaluated based on the care site and provider-mix (uniform providers versus civilian/contractor).

Some key MHS support elements required are improvements to AHLTA and creation of secure provider to patient communication modalities (e.g., secure email).

- AMEDD endorses for the positive beneficiary focused attributes and improved out-comes.
- PCM By Name (PCMBN) at the center, within a provider group (3-5 PCMs) to ensure greater continuity.
- Appropriate support staff and improved MHS IM/IT are critical to the concept.

## **Enterprise Efforts for Access to Care Measures**

Under the Strategy Management Work Group – weekly meetings w/ CAPT Maureen Padden (Navy, Jacksonville) as Chair. Key metrics are:

- PCM Continuity.
- Booking Success Rate
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Available Appt.

## **Standardized Efforts for Referral Management/Clear & Legible Reporting (CLR)**

Rear Admiral Hunter directed formation of a Tiger Team composed of representatives from TRICARE Management Activity, their TRICARE Regional Offices, and the three Services. AMEDD is pushing the Tiger Team to standardize 'front-end' referral management business processes between MTF and their supporting TRICARE contractor; after this, a standardized 'back-end' CLR process can be crafted. IM/IT solutions are possible, but AMEDD's focus is on fixing and standardizing business processes first. Highlights:

- CLR requirement not in the T3 contracts, MHS Tiger Team formed.
- Team will develop/implement standard business rules/tools that support MTFs and identify resource requirements.
- The Joint Healthcare Oversight Council approved placing CLR responsibility under MTF.
- Tri-Service efforts to revitalize an active Health Care Finder program as defined by Title 32 Code of Federal Regulations.

## **Enterprise Efforts to Improve Greater Standardization within the MHS**

The AMEDD is engaged in many Enterprise-level Councils, WGs, and Integrated Process/Project Teams (IPTs). The focus of the AMEDD is for the MHS to have complete standardization of core business design elements, both within the MTFs and by the TRICARE contractors, that support MTF operations and our MTF-enrolled beneficiaries worldwide. The Military Health System endorses a portable Prime benefit, and our Prime beneficiaries deserve the same benefit execution anywhere in the world where they access TRICARE Prime healthcare. Highlights:

- MHS Guide to Access Success, published 15 Dec 08.
- Enterprise-Wide Scheduling and Registration (EWS-R).
- TRICARE On-Line.
- Tri-Service initiative for standardized MTF to TRICARE Contractor Memorandum of Understandings.
- TMA Tasker to consolidate ASD(HA) Policy Memos effecting ATC.

- Tri-Service efforts to revitalize an active Health Care Finder (HCF) program as defined by Title 32 Code of Federal Regulations.
- DoD Innovation Investment Process (IIP) Nurse Advice Line (NAL) program discovery; has similarities with HCF.
- Enterprise-level TRICARE Enrollment Liaison WG.
- Enterprise-level T-3 DEERS IPT.
- Enterprise-level OCONUS Contract Transition WG.
- Enterprise CLR Tiger Team.

## Attachment 2

---

### Navy Medicine Efforts to Improve Access to Care- Memo dated 22 Jan 2010

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BUREAU OF MEDICINE AND SURGERY 2300 E STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20372-7300

IN REPLY REFER TO

JAN 22 2010

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE HEALTH AFFAIRS-SPONSORED NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FISCAL YEAR 2010, SECTION 721, ENTERPRISE WORKING GROUP

SUBJECT: Navy Medicine Efforts to Improve Access to Care (ATC)

Ensuring beneficiaries' timely access to needed care is at the heart of Navy Medicine's strategic goal of patient and family-centered care. To minimize variability in medical treatment facility (MTF) and clinic business processes that support ATC, Navy Medicine issued NAVMED Policy 09-004, "Access to Care Management Policy for Navy Medicine Military Treatment Facilities" of 12 March 2009.

This policy articulates roles, responsibilities, and expectations for Navy Medicine Regional Commands and MTF Commanding Officers (COs), as they relate to ATC. It also provides clinics and MTFs with a framework to implement a well-researched, efficient and effective access plan that supports their beneficiary population's mission requirements and health care needs. The policy reinforces the use of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs' "Military Health System Guide to Access Success" to standardize and improve ATC business processes.

As Navy Medicine Regions have begun implementing NAVMED Policy 09-004, they have identified ATC improvement opportunities at their respective facilities and are actively involved in education and communication efforts to implement best business practices at each facility.

Successful implementation of the Navy Medicine ATC Policy is supported by the active engagement of leadership at the enterprise, Regional, and MTF level. The Navy Medicine ATC Policy/MHS Guide to Access Success requires that MTF COs formally appoint an access manager, whose primary responsibility is to assist in developing an access to care improvement plan, and engaging MTF staff in its successful execution. To this end, MTF COs are required to establish a multidisciplinary team that oversees and integrates ATC improvements into all applicable processes across the MTF. Navy Medicine Regions provide oversight and guidance by reviewing and approving MTF ATC improvement plans, while providing ongoing support and education to MTFs.

To date, ATC performance monitoring has typically focused on a single or number of metrics (e.g., third next available appointment or patient satisfaction with ATC). ATC performance is multidimensional, however, as many factors and business processes impact ATC. Focusing on a single or small number of ATC metrics could potentially create a false sense of how an MTF is performing on ATC

To address the shortcomings associated with looking at a single metric and to support active monitoring of ATC, Navy Medicine has recently developed an ATC management panel within MHS Insight. The management panel consolidates 31 key ATC metrics from a variety of sources, organizing fragmented data and one-dimensional metrics into overarching composite scores that provide a more holistic view of ATC performance. The management panel provides the ability to monitor ATC performance at the enterprise, Regional, and MTF level. Once fully deployed, the management panel will be utilized to actively monitor and report on ATC throughout the Navy Medicine enterprise. ATC performance is also reviewed quarterly by the Navy Medicine Corporate Executive Board, which is comprised of senior medical leadership.

My points of contact are LCDR Daryl Holder, MSC, USN at (202) 762-3146 or via email at [DarylI.Holder@med.navy.mil](mailto:DarylI.Holder@med.navy.mil) and Mr. Mark Thomas at (202) 762-3774 or via email at [Mark.Thomas4@med.navy.mil](mailto:Mark.Thomas4@med.navy.mil).

//SIGHED//

R. J.McCORMICK-BOYLE

Assistant Deputy Chief, Medical Operations

TAB C

## **SEC. 721. STUDY AND PLAN TO IMPROVE MILITARY HEALTH CARE.**

(a) **STUDY AND REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the health care needs of dependents (as defined in section 1072(2) of title 10, United States Code). The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) With respect to both the direct care system and the purchased care system, an analysis of the type of health care facility in which dependents seek care.
- (2) The 10 most common medical conditions for which dependents seek care.
- (3) The availability of and access to health care providers to treat the conditions identified under paragraph (2), both in the direct care system and the purchased care system.
- (4) Any shortfalls in the ability of dependents to obtain required health care services.
- (5) Recommendations on how to improve access to care for dependents.
- (6) With respect to dependents accompanying a member stationed at a military installation outside of the United States, the need for and availability of mental health care services.

(b) **ENHANCED MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM AND IMPROVED TRICARE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall undertake actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—In undertaking actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider the following actions:

(A) Actions to guarantee the availability of care within established access standards for eligible beneficiaries, based on the results of the study required by subsection (a).

(B) Actions to expand and enhance sharing of health care resources among Federal health care programs, including designated providers (as that term is defined in section 721(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104-201; 110 Stat. 2593; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note)).

(C) Actions using medical technology to speed and simplify referrals for specialty care.

(D) Actions to improve regional or national staffing capabilities in order to enhance support provided to military

medical treatment facilities facing staff shortages.

(E) Actions to improve health care access for members of the reserve components and their families, including such access with respect to mental health care and consideration of access issues for members and their families located in rural areas.

(F) Actions to ensure consistency throughout the TRICARE program to comply with access standards, which H. R. 2647—197

are applicable to both commanders of military treatment facilities and managed care support contractors.

(G) Actions to create new budgeting and resource allocation methodologies to fully support and incentivize care provided by military treatment facilities.

(H) Actions regarding additional financing options for health care provided by civilian providers.

(I) Actions to reduce administrative costs.

(J) Actions to control the cost of health care and pharmaceuticals.

(K) Actions to audit the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System to improve system checks on the eligibility of TRICARE beneficiaries.

(L) Actions, including a comprehensive plan, for the enhanced availability of prevention and wellness care.

(M) Actions using technology to improve direct communication with beneficiaries regarding health and preventive care.

(N) Actions to create performance metrics by which to measure improvement in the TRICARE program.

(O) Such other actions as the Secretary, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, considers appropriate.

(c) QUALITY ASSURANCE.—In undertaking actions under this section, the Secretary of Defense and the other administering Secretaries shall continue or enhance the current level of quality health care provided by the Department of Defense and the military departments with no adverse impact to cost, access, or care.

(d) CONSULTATION.—In considering actions to be undertaken under this section, and in undertaking such actions, the Secretary shall consult with a broad range of national health care and military advocacy organizations.

(e) REPORTS REQUIRED.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees an initial report on the progress made in undertaking actions under this section and future plans for improvement of the military health system.

(2) REPORT REQUIRED WITH FISCAL YEAR 2012 BUDGET PROPOSAL.—

Together with the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for fiscal year 2012 (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:

(A) Updates on the progress made in undertaking actions under this section.

(B) Future plans for improvement of the military health system.

(C) An explanation of how the budget submission may reflect such progress and plans.

(3) PERIODIC REPORTS.—The Secretary shall, on a periodic basis, submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the progress being made in the improvement of the TRICARE program under this section.

(4) ELEMENTS.—Each report under this subsection shall include the following:

H. R. 2647—198

(A) A description and assessment of the progress made as of the date of such report in the improvement of the TRICARE program.

(B) Such recommendations for administrative or legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate to expedite and enhance the improvement of the TRICARE program.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “administering Secretaries” has the meaning given that term in section 1072(3) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “TRICARE program” has the meaning given that term in section 1072(7) of title 10, United States Code.

TAB D

Study and Plan to Improve Military Health Care Report to Congress

**COORDINATION**

Chief, Policy & Benefits Branch \_\_\_\_\_

Chief of Staff, TRICARE Policy & Operations \_\_\_\_\_

OGC, TMA \_\_\_\_\_

Acting Deputy Chief, TRICARE Policy & Operations \_\_\_\_\_

HPA&E \_\_\_\_\_

Chief, Health Plan Operations \_\_\_\_\_

PI, TMA \_\_\_\_\_

Chief of Staff, TMA \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy Director \_\_\_\_\_

OLA \_\_\_\_\_

OGC, DoD \_\_\_\_\_

CoS, HA \_\_\_\_\_

PDASD, (HA) \_\_\_\_\_