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October 19-20, 2009 Meeting
Agenda & Briefers

- Subcommittee-Generated Questions: Guard Overview, Update, Issues, History, Current Status—CAPT Joan Hunter

- Reserve: Overview and Deployment Cycle Support for the Reserve and Interface with the Department of Veterans Affairs—Col Terry Washam

- Complicated Conditions: Department of Defense Task Force on the Prevention of Suicide by Members of the Armed Forces—Rev Robert Certain
October 19-20, 2009 Meeting
Agenda & Briefers (Continued)

• Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury Update—Dr. Sonja Batten
• Overarching Status of the Navy Reserve Psychological Health Initiatives—CAPT Virginia Torsch
• Navy Reserve Psychological Health Outreach Program—Ms. Karen Karadimov
• Overview/update: Army Reserve—Col Nicole Keesee
• Data Presentation—Dr. Michael Dinneen
Future Meetings

• Scheduled as follows:
  – December 3-4, 2009
  – Tentatively scheduled in the National Capital Region

• Future meetings will follow agenda template
Previous Question Tasked to the Psychological Health Subcommittee

• Request by Ms. Embrey, the DASD for Force Health Protection and Readiness Programs to review the Automated Neurocognitive Assessment Matrices (ANAM), which is a Pre-Deployment Neurocognitive Assessment Testing tool
  - Provide recommendations on use
  - Determine added value of sections on language, memory, attention, executive function, and cognition
  - Examine inclusion of symptoms and patient history, mood, and sleepiness scales, as well as, measures of response inhibition and effort
New Questions Posed to the Psychological Health Subcommittee

• What evidence-based metrics should the DoD use to measure the effectiveness of our preclinical programs supporting resilience, education, and counseling?

• What evidence-based metrics should the DoD use to measure the outcomes of our clinical mental health programs?
Questions?

Other Considerations?

Offers of Advice and Assistance?
Supplemental Slides
Subcommittee Priorities for Action

• Identification, coordination, and integration of care of patients with comorbid or complicated conditions
  – Family involvement
  – Issues pertaining to alcohol and substance abuse
  – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
  – Major realms, including:
    • Interpersonal violence
    • Stigma
    • Suicide
    • Impact on career
Subcommittee Priorities for Action (Continued)

• Care delivery/systems
  – Efficiency
    • Best practices
    • Dissemination
  – Workforce
    • Leadership development
    • Training
    • Supervision
    • Cultural sensitivity
    • Diversity

• Force robustness
  – Resilience
  – Prevention
  – Protection
Agenda Template

- Administrative issues
- Review of questions tasked to Subcommittee
- Review of questions raised by Subcommittee
- Briefing on new issue/program
- Status or recent data regarding:
  - Treatment
  - Resilience
  - Descriptive analytical data
- Monitoring, guidance, and advice
- Feedback
Meeting Feedback Form

• Please provide a numerical ranking for each, where 1=Wretched and 7=Transcendent
  – Value to you in your role as a Subcommittee member
  – Value of to you in general
  – Substance, on average (name best if you wish)
  – Presenters, on average (name best if you wish)
  – Organization and flow of meeting
Meeting Feedback Form (cont)

• Compared to other Subcommittee meetings so far, on balance this one was:
  – Better
  – Worse
  – About the same

• Any suggestions about ways to improve, particularly in regard to organization and flow, but really in any realm?
Please provide a numerical ranking for each, where 1 = Wretched and 7 = Transcendent

____Value to you in your role as a Subcommittee member

____Value to you in general

____Substance, on average (Name best if you wish)

____Presenters, on average (Name best if you wish)

____Organization and flow of meeting

Compared to other Subcommittee meetings so far, on balance this one was:

“Better”
“Worse”
“About the same”

Any suggestions about ways to improve, particularly in regard to organization and flow, but really in any realm?