

PERSONNEL AND READINESS JUL 19 2010

The Honorable Tim Johnson Chairman, Subcommittee on Military Construction, and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Chiffred L. Kikley

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Kay Bailey Hutchison Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS

JUL 19 2010

The Honorable Carl Levin Chairman, Committee on Armed Services United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Childres L. Ately

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable John McCain Ranking Member



JUL 19 2010

PERSONNEL AND READINESS

> The Honorable Chet Edwards Chairman, Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Children h. Staling

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Zach Wamp Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS JUL 19 2010

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye Chairman, Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Childred in Statley

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Thad Cochran Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS

JUL 19 2010

The Honorable David R. Obey Chairman, Committee on Appropriations U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Childred L. Stalley

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Jerry Lewis Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS JUL 1 9 2010

The Honorable Evan Bayh Chairman, Subcommittee on Readiness and Management Support Committee on Armed Services United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Childred he Straling

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Richard Burr Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS

JUL 1 9 2010

The Honorable Ike Skelton Chairman, Committee on Armed Services U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Chilford he statley

Clifford L. Stanley

cc:

The Honorable Howard P. "Buck" McKeon Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND READINESS JUL 1 9 2010

The Honorable Soloman P. Ortiz Chairman, Subcommittee on Readiness Committee on Armed Services U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to House Report 111-366, Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010, Division E, Title I, (P.L. 111-117) this is the Department's cost-benefit analysis of the various site options for recapitalizing the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) in Germany. It includes a justification for the preferred site. The House Report directed the Department to submit a cost assessment for LRMC with the fiscal year (FY) 2011 budget request. We apologize for the budget request being late. It was not until the last week in May that the Department completed its response. We plan to provide briefings on the details of the analysis below to interested parties on the Hill.

LRMC is a 50-plus-year-old facility providing medical care supporting our enduring presence in Europe and adjacent theaters for both peacetime and contingency requirements. It provides an important U.S. strategic capability and is the first stop for intensive care for casualties from Iraq/Afghanistan. Last year, over 7,000 Wounded Warriors and 280,000 other patients received treatment at LRMC. The European theater hosts the largest community of U.S. military enrollees outside the continental United States, the majority of which (over 110,000) are concentrated in Germany. As such, LRMC supports the enduring medical requirements of this large number of military members and dependents stationed throughout Europe and adjacent theaters.



Evaluation criteria for potential sites included patient perspective, facility design/capability, construction considerations, anti-terrorism/force protection, and cost. Although leveraging existing assets by recapitalizing LRMC at the current location yields a marginal construction cost benefit (\$1.1 billion vs \$1.2 billion), the Weilerbach site rated higher in all other identified metrics. As outlined above, the opportunity to fully incorporate evidence-based design in a consolidated facility at an unfettered construction site offered significant benefits compared to the restraints of retrofitting existing structures at the current location while maintaining ongoing patient services.

The Department is grateful to Congress for its support and interest in the transformational efforts we are undertaking to provide medical care. LRMC is a critical strategic asset supporting Wounded Warriors, Service members, and beneficiaries across Europe and from adjacent theaters. Recapitalizing this facility at the best location to serve Service member and family needs is one of the Department's top priorities. If necessary, my staff stands ready to provide additional information at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Chilford han Triching

Clifford L. Stanley

cc: The Honorable Randy J. Forbes Ranking Member